digitalhealth

INGHAM



## **Elizabeth Sapey**

### Supporting data-driven innovations through secure data environments **University of Birmingham**



**Stage Sponsor:** 







## The impact of health inequalities









Supporting inclusive research to reduce health inequalities







West Midlands SECURE DATA ENVIRONMENT

Part of the NHS Research Secure Data Environment Network



## **Twelve SDEs across England**



Kent, Medway East West Midlands Midlands & Sussex SECURE DATA SECURE DATA SECURE DATA ENVIRONMENT ENVIRONMENT ENVIRONMENT **Thames Valley** North West Wessex & Surrey SECURE DATA SECURE DATA SECURE DATA ENVIRONMENT ENVIRONMENT ENVIRONMENT North East and East of London **North Cumbria** England SECURE DATA SECURE DATA SECURE DATA ENVIRONMENT ENVIRONMENT ENVIRONMENT Connected Great Yorkshire Western SECURE DATA SECURE DATA ENVIRONMENT ENVIRONMENT



Supporting inclusive research to reduce health inequalities





## **National SDE: Thematic areas of focus**











Al/algorithm development Testing, training, and validation Clinical trial activities Feasibility, recruitment, efficacy, short and long-term trial follow up Real world studies Safety, effectiveness, cost effectiveness

#### Translational research Discovery and implementation of discovery into practice

Epidemiological studies Large cohorts for population health research



Health systems research Evaluation of systems or processes, including operational and applied research



Research Involvement

and Engagement

**Open Access** 

Check for updates



## Data use acceptability

RESEARCH ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1186/s40900-021-00281-2

Atkin et al. Research Involvement and Engagement

#### Perceptions of anonymised data use and awareness of the NHS data opt-out amongst patients, carers and healthcare staff

(2021) 7:40

C. Atkin<sup>1</sup> B. B. Crosby<sup>2</sup>, K. Dunn<sup>3</sup>, G. Price<sup>4</sup>, E. Marston<sup>5</sup>, C. Crawford<sup>6</sup>, M. O'Hara<sup>7</sup>, C. Morgan<sup>8</sup>, M. Levermore<sup>9,10</sup>, S. Gallier<sup>11</sup>, S. Modhwadia<sup>12</sup>, J. Attwood<sup>13</sup>, S. Perks<sup>13</sup>, A. K. Denniston<sup>14,15,16</sup>, G. Gkoutos<sup>17</sup>, R. Dormer<sup>18</sup>, A. Rosser<sup>19</sup>, A. Ignatowicz<sup>20</sup>, H. Fanning<sup>21</sup>, E. Sapey<sup>22,23,24</sup> and On behalf of the PIONEER Data Hub<sup>25</sup>

#### Abstract

Background: England operates a National Data Opt-Out (NDOO) for the secondary use of confidential health data for research and planning. We hypothesised that public awareness and support for the secondary use of health data and the NDOO would vary by participant demography and healthcare experience. We explored patient/public awareness and perceptions of secondary data use, grouping potential researchers into National Health Service (NHS), academia or commercial. We assessed awareness of the NDOO system amongst patients, carers, healthcare staff and the public. We co-developed recommendations to consider when sharing unconsented health data for research.

Methods: A patient and public engagement program, co-created and including patient and public workshops, questionnaires and discussion groups regarding anonymised health data use.

Results: There were 350 participants in total. Central concerns for health data use included unauthorised data reuse, the potential for discrimination and data sharing without patient benefit. 94% of respondents were happy for their data to be used for NHS research, 85% for academic research and 68% by health companies, but less than 50% for non-healthcare companies and opinions varied with demography and participant group. Questionnaires showed that knowledge of the NDOO was low, with 32% of all respondents, 53% of all NHS staff and 29% of all patients aware of the NDO.

Recommendations to guide unconsented secondary health data use included that health data use should benefit patients; data sharing decisions should involve patients/public. That data should remain in close proximity to health services with the principles of data minimisation applied. Further, that there should be transparency in secondary health data use, including publicly available lists of projects, summaries and benefits. Finally, organisations involved

\* Correspondence: esapey@bhamac.uk <sup>22</sup>P(ONEER, HDR-UK Health Data Research Hub in Acute Care, Birmingham Acute Care Research Group, Institute of Inflammation and Ageing, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2GW, UK

<sup>23</sup>Department of Acute Medicine, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham B15 2GW, UK Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



O The Author[4, 2021] Open Access This article is tensed under a Creator Common Attribution 4.0 International Larone, which permits use, thating adaptation, do intribution and reproduction in any medium of format, as intog as used as a constrained on the article and the activity of adaptation of the activity of adaptation of the article and the activity of adaptation of the activity of the activity adaptation of the activity of the activity adaptation of the activity of the adaptation of the activity of the ac



Acceptability of the use of deidentified health data by purpose

#### Conclusion

Patients and the public co-developed recommendations for data access activities:

- consider patient benefit
- transparency
- patient/public involvement
- proximity to healthcare services
- benefits to the NHS
- controlled by the NHS
- data minimisation.





## **Governance and ethics**



Co-produced protocol



IRAS application: research database with overarching ethical approvals Home , About us , Committees and services , Confidentiality Advisory Group

All activities of data preparation for research at local site and SDE





## **Data Trust Committee**



All data sharing decisions are overseen by our patient and public oversight group, called the **Data Trust Committee (DTC)** 

- 80% of the DTC must support application for access to be granted
- 40% BAME representation on the committee
- 17 to >75 age range
- includes people with physical disabilities, people with mental ill-health, carers and people with multiple long-term conditions









# National and local opt out

Supporting inclusive research to reduce health inequalities



## **Tested process**



- West Midlands SDE processes based on PIONEER, the HDR UK Hub
- Patient and public support for > 90 data requests since 2020
- DTC model developed in PIONEER and now used in other centres across the UK



- In PIONEER, data safely accessed by > 350 analysts across the world
- Data licensing agreements with > 30 organisations
- Worked with SME, large industry, academic, public and charitable sector as well as NHS organisations



- PIONEER work has led to > 24 publications
- Supported > £35M funding awards







- Changed care pathways and improved choices and outcomes for people
- Worked with NHS organisations across the country
- Trained NHS staff to use data to improve care